

Dmitriy Furman

Director of Russia-Europe-CIS Center, Institute of Europe, Moscow

Being the last speaker is rather difficult. One by one your thoughts are taken away one from you. Eventually, you remain empty. Therefore I must apologize beforehand if something, I am going to speak about, has been said already, but maybe I will add something to it, look at the problem from another point of view.

September, 11 revealed the enormously increased man-caused fragility of our shranked, more and more integrated world. We were shown what even a small group of the desperate people inspired with hatred can do to our world. What provides modern terrorism with such vast destructive opportunities? It is the engineering, the techniques. All these destructive opportunities are simply a by-product of scientific and technological development. I doubt that Shamil's "murids" in the last century hated Russia less, than the modern Chechen soldiers, or, for example, than the Mahdist brigades in Sudan hated Europe and England. But in case of Shamil he and his "murids" couldn't physically reach St. Petersburg - too long distance on a horse. And even if they could, all they would have in their hands; would be a saber and a rifle. Certainly, we do not know exactly, who committed the explosions in Moscow, but it is obvious that the Chechen soldiers or other north Caucasian terrorists had such a technical opportunity. And we can easily extrapolate these tendencies and imagine what sort of weapons will have these groups, inspired with hatred, in about fifty years, when, probably, the very idea of creation a nuclear bomb in the kitchen will become a reality. Of course, it is a sort of science fiction but a lot of such science fictions, including some rather terrible ones, have already come true during our life time period. But it is not only the threat of terrorism that reveals the fragility of the modern world. Local conflicts, such as the conflict, which has broken out again between India and Pakistan, these two nuclear powers, can also result in a complete destabilization of this world and unprecedented losses for the mankind. Finally, man-caused catastrophes themselves. My friends-Finns, for instance, told me that up to now many people in Finland have been afraid to collect mushrooms. After the Chernobyl catastrophe the consumption of mushrooms has fallen. Where is Chernobyl and where is Finland? And after there's been an accident with the submarine «Kursk», innocent Norway with a sinking heart followed the information about the level of radiation in the seawaters. We can extrapolate all these tendencies and imagine what would happen to our world in 50 years, it will become even more fragile, more dangerous. What to do in this situation? As far as all this fragility, all these dangers inevitably go together with the scientific and technological development, as long as we can't reject neither science, nor engineering, we can't and we won't, there is only one way of handling this problem. To each arising danger must be apposed, and actually is apposed, a certain safety precaution -this should turn into a global struggle with these dangers. We can't turn back time, therefore we must search the rescue within the root of the problem, in our scientific and technological development, in globalisation. And this process goes before our eyes. A sort of a world police, world secrete services are originating in this struggle with terrorism. The military campaign of western countries in Afghanistan is not a war actually but a world scale police operation. It is crystal clear that even if Al Qaida is completely destroyed, even if all its members are killed, new structures, which will constantly trace terroristic threat and fight it, will appear because terroristic threat can not be destroyed once and for all. There will always be desperate and resentful people ready to commit a terroristic suicide. It is also clear that the world special services and world police is insufficient to struggle terrorism. Terrorism doesn't arise on an empty place. It originates from some terrorogene situations. There had been no Chechen terrorism, until Yeltsin began to establish in Chechnya constitutional order. There was Palestinian terrorism in the 19th century. Terrorism arises on some basis. I completely agree with Mister Cohen, who said that such basis is not poverty, and is not necessarily poverty and is not only

poverty, but there must always be some basis. And it is absolutely senseless to fight the symptoms of illness, not treating its cause. Fighting the illness must be both its prophylaxis and fighting its symptoms. And if the struggle with terrorism is the business of all civilized mankind, than observation and liquidation of terroragene situations must also be the business of all humanity. The same as the sanitary condition of my house or my court yard is my personal business in time of the epidemical threat, same in the modern society, the situation in Chechnya, in Dagestan, in Palestine etc. etc. is not simply the business of the states, Russia, etc. it is our common business. Therefore, struggling terrorism means not only the existence of special services but also the whole system of various institutions. And it's not the terrorism alone which is the root of global evil, there are a lot more. And fighting them assumes the occurrence, and here I want to say that I am glad that I won't be the first one here today to pronounce this word, because I wouldn't dare, but it has already been said out loud... this is assumes the appearance of some analogue of the world state. This process is already going on: intervention of NATO in Kosovo and in Afghanistan, tribunals on Milosevic and above those guilty in Rwandan genocide, etc. This is the appearance of different pieces, different fragments of the future uniform state structure. It is the agenda of the XXI century, and, obviously, by the end of this century this structure will have already obtained a rather distinct outline, though we can't say yet which. And it is clear that September, 11 has been a strong push forward in this process. The process will, certainly, be incredibly difficult and probably take more than one century. Such loss of the sovereignties, loss of national independence can be no less than a terribly painful process. Reactions are absolutely inevitable here. Even in Europe, where the process of integration has been going on for a long time, we can see now waves of reaction. It is normal: the reaction and the protests, hysterical protests, and even terroristic protests... And nevertheless the process continues. People are searching new ways of governmental organisation, surely this won't be the system like for instance: today we elect the president of globe and everyone puts in the bulletins. It is going to be something new, something we can't imagine ourselves right now, these are the forms, constructively created by mankind. Now I would like to draw your attention to, probably, the most important problem of this process. The problem is not in finding new forms, or in fighting the reaction. The problem is that in the process of building such a system of authority there is a conflict of system of values and moral. Our basic moral values, with religious, moral, any other basis, mean, that all people are equal, that the value of life of one American and one Afghan man are equally priceless. A logical legal consequence of this is «one man - one vote». And in the end some time in the long-term future the system of authority appropriate to our values, can be only a democratic system and only to some extend realising this principle. But during the construction of this system this principle can not work. Not only one state can not have the same vote as another, as it is implied in General Assembly of the UNO. It is inevitable that a group of most developed countries controls the process of creation of the global law and order. Actually what we have seen in Kosovo and in Afghanistan has many times happened in reality in America and American movies: anarchy breaks out and the good guys take out their guns from the closets.

Here it is very easy to mix up the real values of law and order and your personal interests; easy to mix up the protection of the real value common to all mankind with the protection of your prejudices. We are entering a very painful period. In this period that nucleus, we have been talking about today, and above all the USA, as an absolute «nucleus of a nucleus», is carrying out interventions and should carry out interventions - there is no other way for the present - in the various sovereign countries, inducing certain order there, resulting them in conformity. There are no other ways out because there exist such places as Afghanistan, base of the organization dangerous to all mankind. Such situation is simply inadmissible. But to survive in this process on really maximum principles, in the name of which this process goes, is very difficult. The process of constructing this world authority has been started already, it will go further, and it seems to me, that is very important that there is now as much reflexion of this process as possible, we mustn't let it go spontaneously, it

is necessary to control it, we must think about it, talk about it, maybe even make up something and create some utopian models. This is all I wanted to say.